

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/806,678	MILLER ET AL	
	Examiner James M. Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

**All Participants:**

(1) James M. Hewitt.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Philip Dalton.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4-7

Prior art documents discussed:

Kanao (US 5,429,397)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 4-6 in order to patentably distinguish the claims from the prior art of record.*

*Applicant's representative was informed of the objections to the drawings noted in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)